

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,985	07/26/2005	Tetsuji Zama	3103-110	1325	
66458 WATCHSTO	7590 11/19/2010 NE P+D, PLLC		EXAM	IINER	
1250 CONNE	CTICUT AVENUE, N.V	<i>V</i> .	THOMAS, JAISON P ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036-2657				
	,		1766		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@W-PD-A.COM JanicePringle@system.foundationip.com wpdonline@yahoo.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/523,985	ZAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jaison P. Thomas	1766		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

- The malento bate of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any aermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,70(d).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
AMENDIVIENTS

(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) 🔲 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. To r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 42 and 45-48.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet.

12. 🗀	Note the	attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	
13.	Other: _							

/Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1761 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Final Rejection dated 7/8/2010. Applicants contend that the Yamaura reference detailing the polymerization of the conductivymer in Madden shows a polymerization conducted in aqueous solvent. Applicants note that said polymerization is analogous to comparative examples in the instant Specification which show the inferiority with respect to strain values per redox cycle achieved as compared to purposer created in non-aqueous solvents. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the contention and notes the Yamaura article on pg. 210, "Polymerization" section which shows the polymerization are carried out in propylene carbonate containing a small amount of extra Applicants comparative data showing polymerization in pure water thus does not illustrate the reaction conditions disclosed in the prior art and does not illustrate the superiority of Applicants.